

February 1, 2008

Thermodynamic Properties of 1d Heisenberg Model. Magnetic Moment of Spinon.

W. McRae and O. P. Sushkov^a*School of Physics, The University of New South Wales, Sydney 2052, Australia*

Abstract

The one dimensional spin 1/2 Heisenberg antiferromagnet is considered using a simple quasiparticle picture - an interacting Fermi gas of kinks. Using this picture the low temperature heat capacity, and the magnetic susceptibility with logarithmic field and temperature corrections are derived. The results obtained are in agreement with previous computations and conformal field theory calculations. It is hypothesised that the magnetic moment of the kink $g_{kink} = \sqrt{2}g_{electron}$.

PACS numbers: 75.50.Ee, 75.10.Jm,

Typeset using REVTeX

I. INTRODUCTION

We consider the 1d Heisenberg model with Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_i \mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_{i+1} + h \sum_i S_{iz} \quad (1)$$

Here $S = 1/2$ is spin localized at site i . The antiferromagnetic exchange is set equal to unity: $J = 1$. h is an external magnetic field. This model has a very special place in theoretical physics. The first major advance was made in the famous work of Bethe¹, and the model has been studied in numerous subsequent works. For a review see the book of Mattis². The most remarkable property of this model is its gapless spectrum of excitations which is without spontaneously broken symmetry. Faddeev and Takhtajan³ found the structure of excitations at zero magnetic field. They demonstrated that the elementary excitations are topological kinks of spin $1/2$ (spinons) with dispersion relation

$$\epsilon(k) = v|\sin k|, \quad v = \pi/2, \quad \pi/2 \leq k \leq \pi/2. \quad (2)$$

As usual we set lattice spacing equal to unity.

The heat capacity of the Heisenberg model was first calculated numerically by Bonner and Fisher⁴. Their result for low temperature was $C \approx 0.7T$. Later Affleck⁵, using conformal field theory, derived that $C = 2/3T$. The zero field, zero temperature magnetic susceptibility was first calculated by Griffiths using the Bethe ansatz and numerics⁶. His result agreed with $\chi = 1/\pi^2$ within 5 decimal digits. Griffiths also pointed out the nonanalytic dependence of the susceptibility on the magnetic field. Quite recently Eggert, Affleck, and Takahashi⁷, using conformal field theory as well as numerical solution of the Bethe ansatz equations, calculated the temperature dependence of the zero field susceptibility: $\chi = 1/\pi^2 [1 + (2 \ln 7.7/T)^{-1}]$, at $T \ll 1$.

In the present paper we 1) reproduce known results for heat capacity and magnetic susceptibility using a simple quasiparticle approach, 2) find a previously unknown $\ln h$ correction, 3) comment on the value of the magnetic moment of a spinon.

II. INTERACTION OF THE KINKS. EFFECTIVE DISPERSION

Consider the scattering of two kinks of quasimomenta k_1 and k_2 resulting in two scattered kinks of quasimomenta k'_1 and k'_2 . Conservation of energy and momentum requires $k_1 = k'_1$, $k_2 = k'_2$. This means that the only change to the wave function of the kink is an

additional phase factor: $\exp(ikn) \rightarrow S(k) \exp(ikn)$, $S(k) = \exp(i\phi)$. According to Faddeev and Takhtajan³ $S(k)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_t(k) &= -i \frac{\Gamma(1 - i\lambda/2)\Gamma(1/2 + i\lambda/2)}{\Gamma(1 + i\lambda/2)\Gamma(1/2 - i\lambda/2)} \approx \exp\left(\frac{i}{2\lambda}\right) \\ S_s(k) &= \frac{\lambda - i}{\lambda + i} S_t(k) \approx \exp\left(\frac{-3i}{2\lambda}\right) \\ \lambda &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sinh^{-1}(\cot k) \approx \ln \frac{2}{|k|}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

for triplet (total spin unity) and singlet (total spin zero) scattering. The right hand side of eqs. (3) corresponds to the low energy limit: $k \ll 1$, $\lambda \gg 1$. Using perturbation theory we can relate the phase factor $S(k)$ to the matrix element of the interaction between the kinks

$$\begin{aligned} |k\rangle'_{r \rightarrow \infty} &= \left(|k\rangle + \sum_p \frac{\langle p | H_{int} | k \rangle}{\epsilon_k - \epsilon_p + i0} e^{ipr} \right)_{r \rightarrow \infty} = e^{ikr} \left(1 - \frac{\langle k | H_{int} | k \rangle}{d\epsilon/dk} \int \frac{e^{iqr}}{(q - i0) 2\pi} dq \right) = \\ &= e^{ikr} \left[1 - i \frac{\langle k | H_{int} | k \rangle}{v} \right] = e^{ikr} \exp \left(-i \frac{\langle k | H_{int} | k \rangle}{v} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Comparison with eqs.(3) yields the matrix elements of the triplet and the singlet interaction. It is more convenient to work with states of fixed projection of the kink's spin. We can easily find

$$\langle k_1 \uparrow, k_2 \uparrow | H_{int} | k_1 \uparrow, k_2 \uparrow \rangle = -\langle k_1 \uparrow, k_2 \downarrow | H_{int} | k_1 \uparrow, k_2 \downarrow \rangle = -v^2 \left(\frac{1}{\ln |2/k_1|} + \frac{1}{\ln |2/k_2|} \right). \quad (5)$$

Combining eqs. (2) and (5) we find dispersion of the kink ($k \ll 1$) with spin up (down) in the presence of other kinks

$$\epsilon_{\pm}(k) = v|k| \mp \frac{v^2}{\ln |2/k|} (n_+ - n_-) \mp gh. \quad (6)$$

Here n_+ (n_-) is concentration of the spin up (down) kinks. We have introduced also the interaction of the kink with the external magnetic field: $\mp gh$. g is the magnetic moment of the kink.

III. HEAT CAPACITY

The number of kinks is not conserved, so the chemical potential is equal to zero: $\mu = 0$. The spin of the kink equals 1/2, therefore the kinks obey the usual Fermi-Dirac distribution: $f_{\pm} = 1/(\exp(\epsilon_{\pm}/T) + 1)$. It is well known that in one dimension interactions can influence

the statistics of the quasiparticles. For example hard-core bosons are classified as fermions². Fractional statistics are also possible⁸. However for the kinks in Heisenberg model, according to eq.(5) the interaction vanishes in the low energy limit. Therefore there is no reason for a deviation from simple Fermi statistics.

In the absence of a magnetic field the numbers of spin up and spin down kinks are equal, so the interaction term disappears from the dispersion (6). The low temperature and zero magnetic field heat capacity equals

$$C = \frac{\partial}{\partial T} 2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{v|k|}{[\exp(v|k|/T) + 1]} = \frac{2}{3}T. \quad (7)$$

This agrees with both the numerical result of Bonner and Fisher⁴ and the conformal field theory result of Affleck⁵.

IV. MAGNETIC SUSCEPTIBILITY

The zero temperature, zero field magnetic susceptibility can be calculated by considering an ideal Fermi gas with dispersion $\epsilon_{\pm} = v|k| \mp gh$. Spin down states are empty, and spin up states are occupied up to quasimomentum k_{max} which is defined by the condition of zero chemical potential: $\epsilon(k_{max}) = \mu = 0$. Magnetic moment per unit length equals

$$M = gn_+ = g \int_{-k_{max}}^{k_{max}} \frac{dk}{2\pi} = \frac{g^2 h}{\pi v}, \quad (8)$$

and magnetic susceptibility $\chi = dM/dh = g^2/(\pi v)$. To reproduce known result $\chi = 1/\pi^2$ (Refs.^{6,7}) we need to set $g = 1/\sqrt{2}$. Thus the magnetic moment of the kink

$$g = g_{kink} = \sqrt{2}g_{electron}. \quad (9)$$

We will comment later on this relation. We now derive $\ln T$ and $\ln h$ corrections.

In the case $h \ll T \ll 1$, the typical value of k is of the order of T . Therefore under the logarithm in eq.(6), k can be replaced by T : $v^2/\ln|2/k| \rightarrow \alpha = v^2/\ln|2/T|$. The magnetic moment equals

$$M = g(n_+ - n_-) = 2g \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} (f[vk - gh - \alpha(n_+ - n_-)] - f[vk + gh + \alpha(n_+ - n_-)]). \quad (10)$$

Expanding the right hand side of this equation in powers of α and h we can easily find $n_+ - n_-$ and the susceptibility

$$\chi = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2 \ln T_0/T} \right), \quad (11)$$

where $T_0 = 2$. This result is almost the same as the result of Eggert *et al*⁷, but differs in the value of T_0 . Eggert *et al* chose $T_0 \approx 7.7$ to provide a best fit with numerical data calculated from the Bethe ansatz. The renormalization of T_0 ($T_0 = 2 \rightarrow T_0 \approx 7.7$) effectively takes account of double logarithm correction ($\sim 1/\ln^2 1/T$).

Now we consider the $\ln h$ correction at zero temperature: $T \ll h \ll 1$. Spin down states are empty and spin up states are occupied up to k_{max} , given by the condition $\epsilon_+(k_{max}) = 0$. Due to eq.(6) this gives

$$vk_{max} - gh - \frac{v^2}{\ln |2/k_{max}|} = 0 \quad (12)$$

$$n_+ = \int_{-k_{max}}^{k_{max}} \frac{dk}{2\pi} = \frac{k_{max}}{\pi}$$

Solving these eqs. we find n_+ and the susceptibility

$$\chi = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2 \ln h_0/h} \right), \quad (13)$$

where $h_0 = 2v/g \approx 4.4$. To fit the zero temperature magnetization curve calculated by Griffiths⁶ we need to set $h_0 = 17.4$. This means that the renormalization of h_0 due to the double logarithm corrections is by a factor of 4 – exactly similar to the renormalization of T_0 in the case of temperature dependence (11).

V. CONCLUSIONS

In the present paper we have used a quasiparticle approach to calculate the heat capacity and the magnetic susceptibility including $\ln T$ and $\ln h$ corrections. The results agree with previous analytical and numerical calculations. The most interesting point is probably the value of the magnetic moment of kink: g_{kink} . To reproduce the known value of the zero temperature, zero field magnetic susceptibility we set $g_{kink} = \sqrt{2}g_{electron}$. Naively one would expect that $g_{kink} = g_{electron}$ because total spin $\mathbf{S}_{tot} = \sum_i \mathbf{S}_i$ is conserved. The violation of this naive expectation is probably an indication of some anomaly.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are very grateful to J. Bellissard, V. Flambaum, V. Kotov, D. Khomskii, M. Kuchiev, D. Mattis, M. Mostovoy, J. Oitmaa, G. Sawatzky and R. Singh for stimulating discussions.

REFERENCES

^a Also at the Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia

¹ H. A. Bethe, Z. Physik **71**, 205 (1931).

² D. C. Mattis, The Theory of Magnetism (Harper & Row, 1965).

³ L. D. Faddeev and L. A. Takhtajan, Phys. Lett. **85A**, 377 (1981).

⁴ J. C. Bonner and M. E. Fisher, Phys. Rev. **135**, A640 (1964).

⁵ I. Affleck, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 746 (1986).

⁶ R. Griffiths, Phys. Rev. **133**, A768 (1964).

⁷ S. Eggert, I. Affleck, and M. Takahashi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 332 (1994).

⁸ F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 937 (1991).